



All Home Coordinating Board Meeting Summary

November 6, 2019

Members Present:			Members Absent:
Sheila Sebron	Andrew Lofton	Okesha Brandon	Joe McDermott
Sara Levin	Keith Scully	Colleen Echohawk	Leo Flor
Jason Johnson	Nancy Backus	Meghan Deal	
Melinda Giovengo	Hamdi Abdulle		
Daniel Malone	Tess Colby		

Agenda Item	Action Steps and Follow Up
Public Comment	none
CoC Application Process Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI)	<p>Kira shared updates on the Continuum of Care Funding Application Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Process proposal (also included in the meeting materials).</p> <p>Board questions/comments included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to recognize that HUD funding is not equitably distributed by our local jurisdiction • Need both action towards a more equitable distribution and recognition of where we are currently (such as the percentage of dollars currently allocated to POC-led orgs) • Need to prepare membership in moving forward, particularly the lived experience members • Process mapping and continued engagement with both the committee and the LEC/CAC/YAB helps • Are we actually changing anything in this process? • Will different agencies be able to apply in the future? • Want a youth strategy. How will YHDP programs be scored differently? • A very small amount of money is going to POC led organizations through the CoC process (POC-led orgs only represent 3-4% of the funding) • The process hasn't changed to match the newly adopted theory of change • Not a fully transparent process, keeps the status quo • What are we doing to push back on a racist system? • How can the LEC/CAC be better brought into the process, what supports can be provided? <p><u>Next Steps:</u> The Board asked for an update in December about how this work is progressing and who is involved (will be by email since there will not be a December Board meeting).</p>
Tiny Home Villages Update	<p>Jason shared a memo (also included in the meeting materials) with Board members on behalf of the City of Seattle, outlining the proposal to reclassify three permitted villages as shelter.</p> <p>Board questions/comments included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Was a RSJI toolkit done? What are the potential equity impacts of this decision? • No immediate change for people in the programming

- This is an administrative change only that helps us better understand the data and improve data quality
- Has fire safety and/or other life safety issues been taken into account?
- Do clients understand that staying in tiny villages is an alternative to being unsheltered and not a permanent housing option?
- What considerations are taken to build community or move people in from the same community so they aren't lonely?
- Are permitted villages held to the same data standards and targets as other programs?
- Data outcomes for the permitted villages are included in the memo

Action: The Board unanimously voted to reclassify the permitted villages to shelter, with an amendment that shelter designation would only remain as long as conditions in the villages do not change.

Homeless System Redesign

This month's [meeting materials](#) included the most updated version of the CoC Charter, with pending decisions pulled out in a separate document with considerations for decision making. Felicia led the group in an exercise, grounded in the theory of change, to have discussion and to make final decisions on the proposed Charter language.

Charter Decision Points:

1. Board Membership and Selection Process, section a
approved
2. Board Membership and Selection Process, section b
approved
3. Board Membership and Selection Process, section c moved to section a
approved
4. Board Membership and Selection Process, section d
approved
5. Board Membership and Selection Process, section e
Changed "philanthropy or other" to "public or private"
approved
6. Board Membership and Selection Process, section f
Changed "one of whom should be" to "only one of whom shall be"
approved
7. Board Membership and Selection Process, section g
approved
8. Board Membership and Selection Process, section h
Add d. "In each of these cases, a super-majority vote of 60 percent of those present and voting shall be required to approve new members"
approved
9. Committees Section
Added bullet "Committee members are responsible for ongoing communication and coordination with the CoC Board"
approved
10. Delegation of Authority Section
Changed intro language to "The CoC Board retains the authorities detailed in the regulation at 24CFR 578.7 and 578.9, with the exception of the following:"
approved

Final Announcements and Next Steps:

- The next step for enacting the CoC Charter will be seating the ad hoc CoC Board Membership Selection Committee. The [meeting materials](#) include a proposal for membership, timeline and role for what the committee will be charged with completing.
 - We are not holding a regular meeting of the Board in December to give time for the CoC Board membership selection committee to convene and develop recommendations and because we will be holding the All Home Annual Conference on Monday, December 9th
-

-
- We will be offering two meeting times (conference line only) in the first week of December for Board members to hear the latest on HUD data standards and the impact it will have on our local CEA system as well as to discuss the ad hoc CoC Board Membership Selection Committee proposal in more detail.
 - We will also be finding a new date for our January Board meeting (currently scheduled for 1/1 which is a holiday).
-