



All Home Coordinating Board Meeting Summary

December 19, 2018

Members Present:			Members Absent:
Hamdi Abdulle	Jason Johnson	Sheila Sebron	John Chelminiak
Daniel Malone	Sara Levin	Steve Walker	
Okesha Brandon	Mark Ellerbrook	Gordon McHenry Jr.	
Meghan Deal	Nancy Backus	Andrew Lofton	
Joe McDermott	Colleen Echohawk	Melinda Giovengo	

Agenda Item

Action Steps and Follow Up

Public Comment

<u>Bill Kirlin-Hackett</u> - People in vehicles are not being supported or reflected well in the point in time count. The community has had a policy in place for two years to address issues that people living in vehicles face, but still little action has been taken. For instance, a family with kids was told by law enforcement that they cannot park in a certain area and may face loss of their living space, jail time, and family separation if they stayed in the area. Luckily, a community advocate stepped in to help prevent the family from losing their living space, having family members face criminalization, and having the whole family separated. Law enforcement needs guidance for effectively helping those living in vehicles. Thank you for the small amount of money provided for doing the work to address people living in vehicles, but it is not sufficient in supporting people living in vehicles.

Written Updates Check-in

Implementation of CEA's Dynamic Prioritization: Interim Prioritization is being implemented, including:

- Addressing some of the challenges with the prioritization tool
- Working towards the racial equity benchmarks
- Equity impact analysis, in partnership with King County Office of Equity and Social Justice, is taking place around the client experience of coordinated entry
- Coordinated Entry team is hiring for capacity building program manager

Count Us In 2019: The count will take place on the morning of January 25, 2019. Volunteer registration is now open. Please share with all your networks. The Action Group has been integral to the PIT planning including weighing in on how to make it the count as accurate as possible.

Homeless System Redesign

Review of Future Laboratories and CSH findings: Future Laboratories shared their process and final findings from their work in community with the Coordinating Board. The ten interconnected and reinforcing actions they propose are:

- Institute a system-wide theory of change.
- Consolidate homelessness response systems under one regional authority.
- Become accountable to customers.

- Prioritize economic stability to reduce inflow.
- Improve customer outcomes through a comprehensive digital transformation.
- Design intake processes that are connected customer-centric and radically accessible.
- Expand physical and behavioral health options for people experiencing homelessness.
- Create a defined public/private partnership utilizing a funders collaborative model.
- Increase access to 0-30% AMI housing.
- Create long-term institutional alignment across system to serve people experiencing homelessness.

Full details and related strategies are available on the Future website here: https://hrs.kc.future.com/

Board Questions and Comments:

- The details on the website will help with deep understanding.
- Is there an opportunity for the board to have more discussions after reviewing the website and doing some reflections?
- Frequently we endorse a direction or vision, but it does not always pan out to be what was originally endorsed.
- The direction seems good, but we could always explore more.
- Many details need to be worked out and details matter.
- What is our process for implementation?

Continuum of Care Integration

High-Level Recommendations for CoC: CSH shared specific recommendations and strategies for how the CoC could be integrated into the future entity:

- The staff functions currently carried out by All Home should be absorbed into a new consolidated authority.
- The CoC Board should be re-formed and take on an additional advisory role to the board of the new consolidated authority, as detailed in the proposed operational flow.
 A new governance charter should be created to specify roles within the new structure and ensure compliance with federal requirements.
- The board of the new consolidated authority should include CoC leadership in order to represent and operationalize the integration of CoC resources and governance into the new structure.
- Current committees/workgroup should be evaluated and re-formed to address system-level-rather than CoC-specific-community priorities and needs while also meeting federal requirements.

Remaining Questions to Answer:

- What expertise must be represented on the CoC Board to help it achieve its goals to and meet federal requirements?
- What required duties of the CoC and/or CoC Board should be delegated and to what entities
- How does the CoC Board ensure they have the information they need to make informed decisions?
- How should the CoC be held accountable for its actions, and how should the CoC hold other stakeholders accountable for their responsibilities?
- How should the CoC Board measure success in the future?

- What committees are needed to carry out the CoC's regulatory responsibilities and policy priorities?
- How does the CoC board best reflect its values around racial equity in the new structure?
- How does the CoC Board create a mechanism for provider expertise and voice?
- How does the CoC Board create a mechanism for customer expertise and voice?
- How should CoC Board members be on-boarded to ensure that all participants are prepared for their roles?

Board Questions and Comments:

- We want to recognize the work that the CoC has done.
- The CoC has been very isolated in their role.
- What we do have is unsustainable
- There is a changing environment; we could take advantage of the changing environment.
- Let's look at the change that is possible.
- What is the specific questions that the CoC will be ask to vote on? It would be specifically the CoC charter (that the CoC will make a decision like on the CoC responsibilities, designated authority, Oversight on HMIS, Coordinated Entry, Collaborative applicant role, and other CoC functions.
- How does the ten recommended actions relate to the continuum piece? The
 continuum piece is part of the ten recommendation actions. HUD provides a
 significant of portion of dollars given in the community, but not the entire community
 support for the community. We have other partners such as City of Seattle, King
 County, and Regional partners that address the action as a whole. We need all the
 perspective from the board as more work moves forward.
- We are not decision makers for the ten recommendations provided; we are decision maker on the CoC charter and related functions.
- Is it worth to do this? Would it cause real change to do this?
- It would be helpful to have the implementation plan on this process.

Adjourn

<u>Next Steps</u>: CSH will compile a Recommendations Memo and DRAFT Revised Governance Charter which will include:

- Mapping Committees to New Structure
- Case Studies
 - Los Angeles City/County
 - Portland/Multnomah County
 - Minneapolis/Hennepin County
 - Las Vegas/Clark County
 - Columbus, Franklin County

Next meeting is January 9th, 2019