



Joint CEA Policy Advisory & System Performance Committee Meeting Summary April 19, 2018

Present			
Kate Speltz	Sarah Dougherty	Dusty Olson	Dina Wilderson
Karen Ford	Bill Hallerman	Joy Hunt	Martha Sassorossi
Josephine Wong	Sola Plumacher	Kristy Johnson	Jeanice Hardy
Ali Peters	Alaric Bien	Dan Burton	Rebecca Stapleton
Nancy Sherman	Abby Schachter	Wayne Wilson	Julie McFarland
Craig Spottsville	Kristin Brenan	Rizwan Rizwi	Sean Walsh
Triina Van	Danielle Winslow	Nawiishtunmi Conner	

Agenda Item	Action Steps and Follow Up
Context Setting	Danielle Winslow shared a brief context of CEA PAC’s decision to explore Dynamic Prioritization in order to improve outcomes of current referral processes. This includes improving existing disproportionality in referral outcomes, increased transparency for people waiting for resources, and improving the likelihood of connecting households with a referral with a more active priority pool. These strategies also align with recommendations and guidance from National partners and HUD. The final decision to shift prioritization will occur at the Coordinating Board.
Dynamic Prioritization Context	Danielle Winslow provided an overview of key components of Dynamic Prioritization under consideration for the two committees.
Calculating # of Households in Priority Pool	<p>Dusty Olson provided an overview of the current statistics of resources available in a 60-day period of time and led the group through a discussion to establish the size of the priority pool (beginning with single adults).</p> <p>The group recommends using the 70% referral success rate to size the priority pool with a request that outcomes are reported back to the System Performance Committee on a regular basis.</p>
Benchmarks for CEA Referrals	<p>Dusty Olson provided an overview of the racial demographics of King County, of people in HMIS and assessed by CEA. The question to the group was to determine what benchmarks we want to meet that would then drive the factors used locally to design who is prioritized for resources.</p> <p>The group discussed multiple strategies for this process including utilizing poverty and homelessness rates from other communities to ensure that representation is broader than those who ‘show up’ in the system (recognizing people of color may still be under represented in that number).</p> <p>The group will review additional proposals using strategies discussed at a future meeting.</p>

Continuous Evaluation & Improvement Ali Peters described strategies the group can use to support the need to act quickly, but ensure ongoing evaluation and improvement occurs over time.

Next Steps

- All Home and partner staff will prepare and present benchmarks for committees to review (likely at another joint meeting prior to the next CEA PAC meeting)
 - CEA team will apply other community factors to local HMIS data to create sample priority pools to determine whether those factors achieve our local benchmarks (at CEA PAC)
 - May 2: Coordinating Board will be presented information about Dynamic Prioritization to begin discussion on needed decisions from that group
 - Overall, CEA is planning for a phased process, implementing first with single adults for two months prior to expanding to families and YYA
-